



INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION PUBLISHED UNDER THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY (PCT)

<p>(51) International Patent Classification ⁶ : C01B 33/38, 39/48, B01J 29/04, C10G 11/04, 11/05</p>	A1	<p>(11) International Publication Number: WO 97/17290</p> <p>(43) International Publication Date: 15 May 1997 (15.05.97)</p>																
<div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between;"> <div style="width: 48%;"> <p>(21) International Application Number: PCT/EP96/05004</p> <p>(22) International Filing Date: 8 November 1996 (08.11.96)</p> <p>(30) Priority Data: P 9502188 8 November 1995 (08.11.95) ES</p> <p>(71) Applicant (for all designated States except US): SHELL INTERNATIONALE RESEARCH MAATSCHAPPIJ B.V. [NL/NL]; Carel van Bylandtlaan 30, NL-2596 HR The Hague (NL).</p> <p>(72) Inventors; and (75) Inventors/Applicants (for US only): CANOS, Avelino Coma [ES/ES]; Universidad Polit�cnica De Valencia, Avenida Los Naranjos, E-46071 Valencia (ES). SEGUI, Vicente Fomes [ES/ES]; Universidad Polit�cnica De Valencia, Avenida Los Naranjos, E-46071 Valencia (ES). PERGHER, Sibelle Berenice Castella [ES/ES]; Universidad Polit�cnica De Valencia, Avenida Los Naranjos, E-46071 Valencia (ES).</p> </div> <div style="width: 48%;"> <p>(81) Designated States: AL, AM, AT, AU, AZ, BA, BB, BG, BR, BY, CA, CH, CN, CU, CZ, DE, DK, EE, ES, FI, GB, GE, HU, IL, IS, JP, KE, KG, KP, KR, KZ, LC, LK, LR, LS, LT, LU, LV, MD, MG, MK, MN, MW, MX, NO, NZ, PL, PT, RO, RU, SD, SE, SG, SI, SK, TJ, TM, TR, TT, UA, UG, US, UZ, VN, ARIPO patent (KE, LS, MW, SD, SZ, UG), Eurasian patent (AM, AZ, BY, KG, KZ, MD, RU, TJ, TM), European patent (AT, BE, CH, DE, DK, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, IE, IT, LU, MC, NL, PT, SE), OAPI patent (BF, BJ, CF, CG, CI, CM, GA, GN, ML, MR, NE, SN, TD, TG).</p> <p>Published <i>With international search report. Before the expiration of the time limit for amending the claims and to be republished in the event of the receipt of amendments.</i></p> </div> </div>																		
<p>(54) Title: OXIDE MATERIALS AND CATALYST COMPOSITIONS CONTAINING THEM</p>																		
<p>(57) Abstract</p> <div style="display: flex;"> <div style="width: 35%;"> <p>The present invention provides an oxide material having in its calcined form an X-ray diffraction pattern including values substantially as set forth in Table (I) and an adsorption capacity for 1,3,5-trimethylbenzene at a temperature of 42 °C and a pressure of 173.3 Pa (1.3 torr) of at least 0.50 mmol/g; a process for its preparation; a catalyst composition containing it; and use of the catalyst composition in a process for catalytically cracking a hydrocarbonaceous feedstock.</p> </div> <div style="width: 65%;"> <table style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <thead> <tr> <th style="text-align: left; width: 40%;">d (�ngstrom)</th> <th style="text-align: left; width: 60%;">Relative Intensity, I/I₀ x 100</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>12.49 ± 0.24</td> <td>vs</td> </tr> <tr> <td>11.19 ± 0.22</td> <td>m-s</td> </tr> <tr> <td>6.43 ± 0.12</td> <td>w</td> </tr> <tr> <td>4.98 ± 0.10</td> <td>w</td> </tr> <tr> <td>4.69 ± 0.09</td> <td>w</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3.44 ± 0.07</td> <td>vs</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3.24 ± 0.06</td> <td>w</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> </div> </div>			d (�ngstrom)	Relative Intensity, I/I ₀ x 100	12.49 ± 0.24	vs	11.19 ± 0.22	m-s	6.43 ± 0.12	w	4.98 ± 0.10	w	4.69 ± 0.09	w	3.44 ± 0.07	vs	3.24 ± 0.06	w
d (�ngstrom)	Relative Intensity, I/I ₀ x 100																	
12.49 ± 0.24	vs																	
11.19 ± 0.22	m-s																	
6.43 ± 0.12	w																	
4.98 ± 0.10	w																	
4.69 ± 0.09	w																	
3.44 ± 0.07	vs																	
3.24 ± 0.06	w																	

FOR THE PURPOSES OF INFORMATION ONLY

Codes used to identify States party to the PCT on the front pages of pamphlets publishing international applications under the PCT.

AM	Armenia	GB	United Kingdom	MW	Malawi
AT	Austria	GE	Georgia	MX	Mexico
AU	Australia	GN	Guinea	NE	Niger
BB	Barbados	GR	Greece	NL	Netherlands
BE	Belgium	HU	Hungary	NO	Norway
BF	Burkina Faso	IE	Ireland	NZ	New Zealand
BG	Bulgaria	IT	Italy	PL	Poland
BJ	Benin	JP	Japan	PT	Portugal
BR	Brazil	KE	Kenya	RO	Romania
BY	Belarus	KG	Kyrgyzstan	RU	Russian Federation
CA	Canada	KP	Democratic People's Republic of Korea	SD	Sudan
CF	Central African Republic	KR	Republic of Korea	SE	Sweden
CG	Congo	KZ	Kazakhstan	SG	Singapore
CH	Switzerland	LJ	Liechtenstein	SI	Slovenia
CI	Côte d'Ivoire	LK	Sri Lanka	SK	Slovakia
CM	Cameroon	LR	Liberia	SN	Senegal
CN	China	LT	Lithuania	SZ	Swaziland
CS	Czechoslovakia	LU	Luxembourg	TD	Chad
CZ	Czech Republic	LV	Latvia	TG	Togo
DE	Germany	MC	Monaco	TJ	Tajikistan
DK	Denmark	MD	Republic of Moldova	TT	Trinidad and Tobago
EE	Estonia	MG	Madagascar	UA	Ukraine
ES	Spain	ML	Mali	UG	Uganda
FI	Finland	MN	Mongolia	US	United States of America
FR	France	MR	Mauritania	UZ	Uzbekistan
GA	Gabon			VN	Viet Nam

OXIDE MATERIALS AND
CATALYST COMPOSITIONS CONTAINING THEM

The present invention relates to oxide materials, a process for their preparation, catalyst compositions containing them and use of the catalyst compositions in a process for catalytically cracking a hydrocarbonaceous feedstock.

Laminar materials that swell or expand in the presence of water and/or appropriate intercalating cations are exemplified by clays, zirconium phosphates and phosphonates, hydroxycarbonates such as hydrotalcite, silicas such as kanemite, magadiite and keniaite, transition metal sulphides, graphite and laminar hydroxides. The individual layers of these materials are linked together by weak bonds such as hydrogen bonds and electrostatic forces which are easily ruptured when the intercalating force or the solvation energy of the cations exceeds the force of attraction between the layers. This is the case for example with sodium montmorillonite which swells in the presence of excess water until the distance between its layers exceeds 10 nm (100 Å). An advantage of such swellable or expandable materials, particularly those having catalytic uses, is that the space between their layers and so their internal surface can be made accessible to reactive molecules, thereby considerably increasing the catalytically active surface area of the material. However, when the intercalated cations in the swollen or expanded laminar material are eliminated by calcination, the laminar material collapses and the original spacing between the layers is re-established.

- 2 -

In order to prevent this collapse between the layers on calcination, it has been proposed in the art to intercalate in the swelled or expanded laminar material some "columns" or "pillars" of thermostable hydroxides and oxides. These columns consist of polymeric hydroxides, for example, of aluminium, silicon, chromium, nickel or zirconium. On calcination, these hydroxides give rise to columns of the corresponding oxides which are anchored in the surface of the layers, keeping them at a certain distance from one another. This stabilises the final product which is known as a "pillared laminar material". The preparation of a pillared laminar oxide material, in particular MCM-36, is described in detail in Published International Patent Application No. WO 92/11934.

It would be desirable to prepare a calcined, oxide material having an increased active surface area which is not a pillared material.

In accordance with the present invention, there is therefore provided an oxide material having in its calcined form an X-ray diffraction pattern including values substantially as set forth in Table I below:

Table I

d (Ångstrom)	Relative Intensity, $I/I_0 \times 100$
12.49 \pm 0.24	vs
11.19 \pm 0.22	m-s
6.43 \pm 0.12	w
4.98 \pm 0.10	w
4.69 \pm 0.09	w
3.44 \pm 0.07	vs
3.24 \pm 0.06	w

- 3 -

and an adsorption capacity for 1,3,5-trimethylbenzene at a temperature of 42 °C and a pressure of 173.3 Pa (1.3 torr) of least 0.50 mmol/g, preferably at least 0.60 mmol/g, more preferably at least 0.70 mmol/g, still more preferably at least 0.80 mmol/g and especially at least 1.00 mmol/g, in particular 1.02 mmol/g.

In this specification, unless otherwise stated, the relative intensities as indicated by the symbols, w, m, s and vs denote respectively weak, medium, strong and very strong and correspond generally to the following values:

w = 0 - 20
m = 20 - 40
s = 40 - 60
vs = 60 - 100

The X-ray diffraction pattern of the oxide material of the invention has substantially no peaks with a relative intensity ($I/I_0 \times 100$) greater than about 5 at d-values higher than 15 Ångstrom.

The oxide material according to the present invention is characterised by a high active surface area and a microporous structure. It possesses channels formed by 10-membered atomic rings having a pore diameter of 0.56 nm (5.6 Å), and chalice-shaped cavities that measure 0.8 x 0.7 nm (8 x 7 Å) which are open to the outside via 12-membered atomic rings, as indicated by the high adsorption capacity for the bulky molecule 1,3,5-trimethylbenzene. By comparison, MCM-22 zeolite has an adsorption capacity for 1,3,5-trimethylbenzene at a temperature of 42 °C and a pressure of 173.3 Pa (1.3 torr) of 0.25 mmol/g. Similarly, the oxide material of the invention possesses higher adsorption capacities than MCM-22 zeolite for toluene (e.g. 2.10 mmol/g versus 1.46 mmol/g at 42 °C and 1333.2 Pa (10 torr)) and meta-xylene (e.g. 1.58 mmol/g versus 0.79 mmol/g at 42 °C and 666.61 Pa (5 torr)).

- 4 -

Adsorption capacities were determined from microcalorimetric measurements of the differential heat of adsorption of an adsorbate (> 99% purity) as a function of its uptake on 100 mg samples of oxide material. Conventional volumetric apparatus was used together with a heat-flow microcalorimeter of the Tian-Calvet type (model BT, Setaram, France). Before each experiment, the sample material was heated in an oxygen flow (30 cm³/min) up to 450 °C and outgassed overnight at 450 °C in a vacuum less than 1 mPa. Isotherms were determined in the usual way by measuring amounts adsorbed at increasing pressures and the corresponding heat evolved with each dose of adsorbate. The experiments were carried out at a temperature of 42 °C.

Preferably the oxide material of the invention comprises the oxides XO₂ and Y₂O₃ wherein X represents a tetravalent element and Y represents a trivalent element, the atomic ratio X to Y being at least 10.

Preferably X in XO₂ represents at least one tetravalent element selected from silicon and germanium, and is especially silicon.

Preferably Y in Y₂O₃ represents at least one trivalent element selected from aluminium, boron, iron, chromium and gallium, and is especially aluminium.

A particularly preferred oxide material is one comprising the oxides SiO₂ and Al₂O₃, i.e. wherein X represents silicon and Y represents aluminium.

The atomic ratio X to Y may take any value from 10 to infinity but is preferably a value in the range from 10 to 500, more preferably in the range from 10 to 350, still more preferably in the range from 10 to 150 and especially in the range from 10 to 100. Very advantageous results have been obtained when the atomic ratio X to Y is in the range from 15 to 50.

- 5 -

The present invention further provides a process for the preparation of an oxide material according to the invention which comprises, prior to calcination, at least partially delaminating a swollen, layered oxide material having an X-ray diffraction pattern including values substantially as set forth in Table II below:

Table II

d (Ångstrom)	Relative Intensity, I/I ₀ x 100
> 32.2	vs
12.41 ± 0.25	w-s
3.44 ± 0.07	w-s

The swollen, layered oxide material having the X-ray diffraction pattern of Table II is preferably at least partially delaminated using ultrasound techniques.

The swollen, layered oxide material may conveniently be prepared as described in Published International Patent Application No. WO 92/11934 from a precursor of MCM-22 zeolite as known, e.g., from US-A-4 954 325, US-A-4 992 615, US-A-5 107 047 and US-A-4 956 514. When this precursor is calcined at temperatures in excess of 200 °C, it collapses, giving rise to zeolite MCM-22 with a three-dimensional structure.

The MCM-22 precursor may be prepared from a reaction mixture containing an oxide of a tetravalent element (X), e.g. silicon, an oxide of a trivalent element (Y), e.g. aluminium, an organic directing agent (organic template), water and, optionally, sources of alkali or alkaline earth metal (M), e.g. sodium or potassium cation.

Examples of organic templates that may be used include heterocyclic imines (e.g. hexamethyleneimine, 1,4-diazacycloheptane and azacyclooctane), cycloalkyl

- 6 -

amines (e.g. aminocyclopentane, aminocyclohexane and aminocycloheptane), adamantane quarternary ammonium ions (e.g. N,N,N-trimethyl-1-adamantanammonium ions and N,N,N-trimethyl-2-adamantanammonium ions), and mixtures of N,N,N-trimethyl-1-adamantanammonium ions or N,N,N-trimethyl-2-adamantanammonium ions with either hexamethyleneimine or dipropylamine.

The reaction mixture is allowed to crystallise at a temperature in the range from 80 to 225 °C for a period of 1 to 60 days. The crystals that form are separated from the reaction mixture, washed thoroughly with water and dried to yield the MCM-22 precursor.

To obtain the swollen, layered oxide material having the X-ray diffraction pattern of Table II, the MCM-22 precursor is contacted in an exchange reaction with a long-chain organic compound to keep its layers widely spaced and so reduce the forces of attraction that hold them together.

The long-chain organic compound may be any suitable amine or alkylammonium compound such as octyltrimethylammonium compounds, dodecyltrimethylammonium compounds and cetyltrimethylammonium compounds, particularly cetyltrimethylammonium hydroxide, chloride or bromide.

The exchange reaction is conveniently carried out by contacting a solid suspension of the MCM-22 precursor with a solution of the long-chain organic compound, e.g. a mixture of cetyltrimethylammonium bromide and cetyltrimethylammonium hydroxide, and a solution of an alkylammonium compound, e.g. tetrapropylammonium hydroxide, at a temperature in the range from 40 to 150 °C for a period of several hours, e.g., 5 to 50 hours to yield, as product, a swollen, layered oxide material having the X-ray diffraction pattern of Table II.

When the swollen, layered oxide material is at least partially delaminated, for example, by using ultrasound

- 7 -

treatment, e.g., at a frequency of 50 Hz and a power of 50 W for 15 minutes to 1 hour, and subsequently calcined, the product obtained is an oxide material according to the present invention.

5 Calcination may be carried out in air or an inert gas such as nitrogen at elevated temperature, e.g., at a temperature in the range from 200 to 800 °C, for a period, e.g., from 1 to 48 hours. The calcination is conveniently carried out in nitrogen gas at 540 °C for
10 5 hours.

 In a preferred aspect of the invention, the present process also comprises an acid treatment step following the delamination step and prior to calcination.

 The acid treatment step may conveniently be carried
15 out by contacting the at least partially delaminated swollen, layered oxide material having the X-ray diffraction pattern of Table II with a strong acid, e.g. a mineral acid such as hydrochloric acid or nitric acid, at low pH, e.g. pH 2, in order to flocculate the very
20 small particles of oxide material. The oxide material thus acidified is then washed with water until a pH of 6 or more is obtained before being calcined.

 In a further aspect of the invention, the present process also comprises a hydrothermal (steam) treatment
25 step and/or a fluorine/phosphorus treatment step in accordance with techniques conventional in the art. These treatments are preferably carried out post-calcination as opposed to pre-calcination.

 The oxide material according to the present invention
30 exhibits unique characteristics that are very different from those of zeolite MCM-22 as regards its surface area, porosity, acidity, thermal stability and catalytic behaviour.

 Tables 1 and 2 below compare the surface areas in
35 m²/g of calcined samples (carried out at 540 °C for

- 8 -

5 hours) of MCM-22 zeolite and the oxide material of the present invention, the latter having been prepared with (Table 2) and without (Table 1) an acid treatment from different MCM-22 precursors with silicon to aluminium atomic ratios from 15 to 100. The surface areas were determined by the well known Brunauer-Emmett-Teller (BET) method (S. Brunauer, P. Emmett and E. Teller, J. Am. Chm. Soc., 60, 309 (1938)) and the t-plot method, using nitrogen as the adsorbate.

Table 1

(without acid treatment)

Sample	Si/Al atomic ratio of MCM-22 precursor	Total surface area	Microporous surface area	External surface area
MCM-22	15	453	312	141
Invention	15	515	223	292
MCM-22	50	451	355	96
Invention	50	592	240	352

- 9 -

Table 2
(with acid treatment)

Sample	Si/Al atomic ratio of MCM-22 precursor	Total surface area	Microporous surface area	External surface area
MCM-22	15	453	312	141
Invention	15	637	219	418
MCM-22	25	448	339	109
Invention	25	632	150	482
MCM-22	50	451	355	96
Invention	50	841	45	796
MCM-22	100	455	379	76
Invention	100	698	150	548

The data presented in Tables 1 and 2 clearly show that delamination reduces the microporous surface area and greatly increases the external surface area compared with the values obtained for zeolite MCM-22.

5 Table 3 below shows the amount of pyridine adsorbed in mmol pyridine per gram at three different temperatures by calcined samples (carried out at 540 °C for 5 hours) of MCM-22 zeolite and the oxide material of the present invention, the latter having been prepared with or
10 without an acid treatment from two MCM-22 precursors with silicon to aluminium atomic ratios of 15 and 50. (For the adsorption coefficient, see C.A. Emeis, J. Cata., 141, 347-354 (1993).)

- 10 -

Table 3

Sample	Si/Al atomic ratio of MCM-22 precursor	Temperature (°C)					
		150		250		350	
		B	L	B	L	B	L
Invention*	15	27	11	22	9	15	9
Invention*	50	21	24	16	20	7	15
MCM-22	15	78	29	63	24	45	20
Invention#	15	72	49	51	29	33	23
MCM-22	50	39	23	24	15	15	14
Invention#	50	21	23	15	20	9	15

* denotes without acid treatment

denotes with acid treatment

B denotes Brönsted acidity

L denotes Lewis acidity

The oxide material according to the present invention may be used as a catalyst in organic conversion processes, e.g. in the catalytic cracking of hydrocarbonaceous feedstocks, and may be used alone or in combination with other catalyst components.

Accordingly, the present invention further provides a catalyst composition comprising an oxide material according to the present invention and a matrix material.

The matrix material may be an active or inactive material and may be either synthetic or naturally-occurring. Examples of matrix materials that may be employed in the catalyst composition of the invention include clays (e.g. bentonite and kaolin) and inorganic refractory oxides (e.g. silica, alumina, magnesia, titania, zirconia, silica-alumina, silica-magnesia, silica-titania, silica-zirconia, silica-thoria, silica-

- 11 -

beryllia as well as ternary compositions such as silica-alumina-thoria, silica-alumina-zirconia, silica-alumina-magnesia and silica-magnesia-zirconia).

5 The relative proportions of the oxide material of the invention and matrix material in the catalyst composition may vary widely. Thus, for example, the catalyst composition may comprise from 0.5 to 95 %w, preferably from 1 to 80 %w of the present oxide material and from 5 to 99.5 %w, preferably from 20 to 99 %w of matrix
10 material, all percentages by weight being calculated on the basis of the combined dry weight of the present oxide material and the matrix material.

 Depending on its application, the catalyst composition of the invention may further comprise at
15 least one catalytically-active metal component. Examples of catalytically-active metal components that may be used include Group VIB (e.g. molybdenum and tungsten) and Group VIII metals (e.g. cobalt, nickel, iridium, platinum and palladium), their oxides and sulphides. The catalyst
20 composition may contain up to 50 parts by weight of catalytically-active metal component, calculated as metal per 100 parts by weight of total catalyst composition. For example, the catalyst composition may contain from 2 to 40, preferably from 5 to 30, parts by weight of Group
25 VIB metal(s) and/or from 0.05 to 10, preferably from 1 to 6, parts by weight of Group VIII metal(s), calculated as metal per 100 parts by weight of total catalyst composition.

 The catalyst composition of the invention may be
30 prepared in accordance with techniques conventional in the art.

 The present invention still further provides a process for catalytically cracking a hydrocarbonaceous feedstock which comprises contacting the feedstock at

- 12 -

elevated temperature with a catalyst composition according to the invention.

The hydrocarbonaceous feedstocks useful in the present process can vary within a wide boiling range.

5 They include relatively light petroleum fractions such as kerosine, and heavier petroleum fractions such as vacuum distillates, long residues, deasphalted residual oils and atmospheric distillates, e.g. (vacuum) gas oils.

10 The present process may be carried out in a fixed catalyst bed but is preferably carried out in an upwardly or downwardly moving catalyst bed, e.g. in the manner of conventional Thermoform Catalytic Cracking (TCC) or Fluidised Catalytic Cracking (FCC) processes. The process conditions are preferably a reaction temperature
15 in the range from 400 to 900 °C, more preferably from 450 to 800 °C and especially from 500 to 650 °C; a total pressure of from 1×10^5 to 1×10^6 Pa (1 to 10 bar), in particular from 1×10^5 to 7.5×10^5 Pa (1 to 7.5 bar); a catalyst/feedstock weight ratio (kg/kg) in the range from
20 0.1 to 150, especially 20 to 100 if a moving catalyst bed is used; and a contact time between catalyst and feedstock in the range from 0.1 to 100 seconds, with contact times in the range from 0.1 to 10 seconds being preferred if a moving catalyst bed is used.

25 The present invention will be further understood from the following illustrative examples.

Example 1

(i) Preparation of swollen, layered oxide material

30 A swollen, layered oxide material as described in Published International Application No. WO 92/11934 was prepared by dissolving sodium aluminate (0.233 g, 56% alumina/37% sodium oxide, from Carlo Erba) and 98% sodium hydroxide (0.810 g, from Prolabo) in deionized water (103.45 g). To this solution were added
35 hexamethyleneimine (6.347 g, from Aldrich) and "Aerosil

- 13 -

200" (trade mark) silica (7.680 g, from Degussa) and the resulting mixture was stirred vigorously for 30 minutes. The mixture produced a gel having a silicon to aluminium atomic ratio of 50 (corresponding to a silica to alumina molar ratio of 100) which was kept in an autoclave operated at 60 rpm for 11 days at 135 °C. Centrifugation at 10,000 rpm followed by washing until the pH of the washing water became 9 or less and then drying yielded a swellable material of the type prepared in Example 1 of WO 92/11934.

A suspension of the swellable material (3 g) in a system containing bidistilled water (40 g), cetyltrimethylammonium hydroxide/bromide in a 1:1 ratio (60 g) and tetrapropylammonium hydroxide/bromide in a 1:1 ratio (18.5 g) was refluxed at 80 °C for 16 hours and then thoroughly washed with water before separation into a liquid phase and a solid phase (3.5 g). The solid phase comprised the desired swollen, layered oxide material of the type prepared in Example 2 of WO 92/11934 having an X-ray diffraction pattern substantially as shown in the Table II below.

Table II

d (Å)	Relative Intensity, $I/I_0 \times 100$
> 32.2	vs
12.41 ± 0.25	w-s
3.44 ± 0.07	w-s

- 14 -

(ii) Preparation of a calcined, oxide material according to the invention

Bidistilled water (600 ml) was added to the swollen, layered oxide material (3.5 g) obtained in Example 1(i) above with constant stirring and the resulting suspension was subjected to an ultrasound treatment at a frequency of 50 Hz and a power of 50 W for a period of 40 minutes. The suspension thus treated was then centrifuged, dried at 100 °C and finally calcined at 540 °C for 5 hours to yield an oxide material according to the present invention (1.5 g) having an X-ray diffraction pattern as shown in Table I above and a total surface area of about 600 m²/g (of which about 350 m²/g is external surface area) as determined by the well known t-plot method using nitrogen as the adsorbate.

Example 2

The process according to Example 1 above was repeated except that the amounts of reagents in step (i) were varied to produce a gel having a silicon to aluminium atomic ratio of 15 (corresponding to a silica to alumina molar ratio of 30).

The calcined, oxide material obtained from step (ii) was found to have an X-ray diffraction pattern as shown in Table I above and a total surface area of over 500 m²/g (of which about 250 m²/g is external surface area).

Example 3

The process according to Example 2 above was repeated except that in step (i) the suspension containing the swellable material of the type prepared in Example 1 of WO 92/11934, bidistilled water, cetyltrimethylammonium hydroxide/bromide and tetrapropylammonium hydroxide/bromide was heated in an autoclave at 105 °C for 42 hours.

- 15 -

The calcined, oxide material obtained from step (ii) was found to have an X-ray diffraction pattern as shown in Table I above and a total surface area of 400 m²/g (of which about 230 m²/g is external surface area).

5

Example 4

The process according to Example 3 above was repeated except that in step (i) the suspension containing the swellable material of the type prepared in Example 1 of WO 92/11934, bidistilled water, cetyltrimethylammonium hydroxide/bromide and tetrapropylammonium hydroxide/bromide was heated in an autoclave at 105 °C for 42 hours, washed with water and then finally with aqueous 6 N hydrochloric acid before separation into a liquid phase and a solid phase.

15

The calcined, oxide material obtained from step (ii) was found to have an X-ray diffraction pattern as shown in Table I above and a total surface area of 520 m²/g (of which about 300 m²/g is external surface area).

20

Example 5

The process according to Example 1 above was repeated except that in step (ii), following the ultrasound treatment, the suspension was lyophilised prior to calcination.

25

Example 6

The first step of the process described in Example 1 was repeated to yield a swollen, layered oxide material of the type prepared in Example 2 of WO 92/11934 having an X-ray diffraction pattern substantially as shown in the Table II above.

30

In a second step, bidistilled water (300 ml) was added to the swollen, layered oxide material (3.0 g) obtained in the first step with constant stirring and the resulting suspension was subjected to an ultrasound treatment at a frequency of 50 Hz and a power of 50 W for

35

- 16 -

a period of 1 hour. The suspension was then acidified with 6M hydrochloric acid until a pH of 2 was reached, washed with water and centrifuged several times until a pH ≥ 6 was obtained. Drying at 100 °C followed by calcination at 540 °C for 5 hours yielded an oxide material according to the present invention (2.25 g) having an X-ray diffraction pattern as shown in Table I above and a total surface area of 841 m²/g (of which 796 m²/g is external surface area).

Example 7

Cracking Experiments

A vacuum gasoil feedstock was cracked in an automated microactivity test (MAT) reactor that accomplishes standard test method ASTM D-3907 using, as cracking catalyst, 1 g of a calcined, oxide material as prepared in Example 6 above via an initial gel having a silicon to aluminium atomic ratio of 50, diluted with 2 g of a silica matrix (a catalyst according to the invention, hereinafter referred to as C_I).

For comparison, the test was repeated using, as cracking catalyst, 1 g of MCM-22 zeolite prepared as described in US-A-4 954 325 via an initial gel having a silicon to aluminium atomic ratio of 50, diluted with 2 g of the silica matrix (a comparative catalyst, hereinafter referred to as C_C).

The tests on both catalysts were carried out at different catalyst/oil weight ratios but the contact time (time on stream) remained the same. The properties of the vacuum gas oil, the process conditions used and the results obtained for each catalyst are shown in the following Tables III and IV. In Table IV, conversion is defined as the sum of the C₁-C₄ gas, gasoline (C₅ - 195 °C fraction), middle distillates (195 - 360 °C fraction) and coke yields, the yields quoted being calculated as per cent by weight on the feedstock.

- 17 -

Table III

Properties of vacuum gas oil feedstock

Density (g/cm ³ at 60 °C)	0.873
API gravity	30.68
Nitrogen content (ppm)	370
Sulphur content (%w)	1.65
Conradson Carbon (%w)	0.03
Viscosity at 50 °C (cSt)	8.249
Distillation Range (°C):	
Initial Boiling Point	167
5 %w	245
10 %w	281
20 %w	304
30 %w	328
40 %w	345
50 %w	363
60 %w	380
70 %w	401
80 %w	425
90 %w	450
Final Boiling Point	551

Table IV

Test Run	1	1A	2	2A	3	3A	4	4A	5	5A
Catalyst	CI	CC	CI	CC	CI	CC	CI	CC	CI	CC
Temp. (°C)	500	500	500	500	500	500	500	500	500	500
Catalyst/Oil (wt/wt)	0.135	0.139	0.202	0.208	0.266	0.278	0.340	0.345	0.403	0.415
Time on stream (s)	75	75	75	75	75	75	75	75	75	75
Conversion (%w)	37.94	27.38	45.20	34.53	50.45	40.78	55.31	45.54	59.51	47.30
C1-C4 gases (%w)	8.96	7.96	12.63	11.24	15.87	14.17	18.89	16.89	21.99	20.49
Gasoline (%w)	20.21	13.87	22.91	15.84	24.18	18.01	25.37	19.03	26.20	17.96
Middle distillates (%w)	7.76	4.56	8.12	5.96	8.37	6.63	8.96	7.14	8.86	5.90
Coke (%w)	1.01	0.99	1.54	1.49	2.03	1.97	2.09	2.46	2.44	2.96

- 19 -

As the results in Table IV clearly show, the catalyst according to the invention (C_I) was significantly more active than the comparative catalyst (C_C) and produced higher gasoline and middle distillates yields with less coke formation. Indeed, the catalyst according to the invention gave a better distribution of products. Furthermore, although not shown in Table IV, the catalyst according to the invention gave a more advantageous gaseous product containing higher propylene/propane, butene/butane and isobutene/butene ratios than the gaseous product obtained using the comparative catalyst.

Example 8

The process according to Example 6 was repeated with an additional post-calcination hydrothermal treatment step carried out at 750 °C and 100% steam for 5 hours.

Example 9

Cracking Experiments

The oxide material obtained in Example 8 above (via an initial gel having a silicon to aluminium atomic ratio of 50) was formulated into a cracking catalyst according to the invention (1 g oxide material and 2 g silica matrix) and tested as previously described in Example 7 using the same vacuum gas oil feedstock at a temperature of 500 °C and a time on stream of 75 seconds. The cracking catalyst is hereinafter referred to as C_{IH}.

For comparison, the test was repeated using a similar cracking catalyst containing instead of the oxide material of Example 8, 1 g of MCM-22 zeolite prepared as described in US-A-4 954 325 via an initial gel having a silicon to aluminium atomic ratio of 50 which had likewise been subjected to a hydrothermal treatment step at 750 °C and 100% steam for 5 hours. The comparative cracking catalyst is hereinafter referred to as C_{CH}.

The catalyst/oil weight ratios used and the results obtained for each catalyst are shown in the following

- 20 -

5 Table V, in which conversion is defined as the sum of the C₁-C₄ gas, gasoline, middle distillates and coke yields, the yields quoted being calculated as per cent by weight on the feedstock. As will be observed from Table V the catalyst according to the invention (C_{IH}) shows improved activity relative to the comparative catalyst (C_{CH}) and produces considerably less coke.

Table V

Test Run	6	7A	8	9A	10	10A
Catalyst	CIH	CCH	CIH	CCH	CIH	CCH
Catalyst/Oil (wt/wt)	0.138	0.210	0.285	0.352	0.421	0.421
Conversion (%w)	25.70	28.16	32.46	34.41	38.08	37.51
C1-C4 gases (%w)	2.63	4.08	5.08	6.20	6.59	7.07
Gasoline (%w)	13.91	15.99	17.43	18.77	18.38	19.27
Middle distillates (%w)	8.69	7.05	8.06	9.02	11.84	9.62
Coke (%w)	0.47	1.04	1.28	1.42	1.27	1.55

- 22 -

Example 10

The process according to Example 1 was repeated with additional post-calcination phosphorus and hydrothermal treatment steps. Thus, the calcined, oxide material obtained was contacted with a solution of ammonium dihydrogenphosphate, $(\text{NH}_4)\text{H}_2\text{PO}_4$, in deionized water to add 2 %w phosphorus. The material so treated was dried in a rotary vacuum evaporator at 80 °C and then subjected to a hydrothermal treatment at 750 °C and 100% steam for 5 hours.

Example 11Cracking Experiments

The phosphorus-containing oxide material obtained in Example 10 above was formulated into a cracking catalyst according to the invention (1 g oxide material and 2 g silica matrix) and tested as previously described in Example 7 using the same vacuum gas oil feedstock at a temperature of 500 °C and a time on stream of 75 seconds.

The catalyst/oil weight ratios used and the results obtained are shown in the following Table VI, in which conversion is defined as the sum of the C₁-C₄ gas, gasoline, middle distillates and coke yields, the yields quoted being calculated as per cent by weight on the feedstock.

- 23 -

Table VI

Test Run	11	12	13	14	15
Catalyst/Oil (wt/wt)	0.140	0.209	0.280	0.350	0.421
Conversion %w)	22.51	27.94	32.14	35.46	38.64
C ₁ -C ₄ gases (%w)	2.91	3.96	5.25	6.45	7.41
Gasoline (%w)	13.08	15.57	17.39	18.44	19.93
Middle distillates (%w)	5.98	7.57	8.29	8.95	9.57
Coke (%w)	0.59	0.84	1.21	1.62	1.73

C L A I M S

1. An oxide material having in its calcined form an X-ray diffraction pattern including values substantially as set forth in Table I below:

Table I

d (Ångstrom)	Relative Intensity, I/I ₀ x 100
12.49 ± 0.24	vs
11.19 ± 0.22	m-s
6.43 ± 0.12	w
4.98 ± 0.10	w
4.69 ± 0.09	w
3.44 ± 0.07	vs
3.24 ± 0.06	w

5 and an adsorption capacity for 1,3,5-trimethylbenzene at a temperature of 42 °C and a pressure of 173.3 Pa (1.3 torr) of least 0.50 mmol/g.

2. An oxide material according to claim 1 comprising the oxides XO₂ and Y₂O₃ wherein X represents a tetravalent element and Y represents a trivalent element, the atomic
10 ratio X to Y being at least 10.

3. An oxide material according to claim 2, wherein X represents at least one tetravalent element selected from silicon and germanium.

4. An oxide material according to claim 2 or claim 3,
15 wherein Y represents at least one trivalent element selected from aluminium, boron, iron, chromium and gallium.

- 25 -

5. An oxide material according to any one of claims 2 to 4, wherein X represents silicon and Y represents aluminium.
- 5 6. An oxide material according to any one of claims 2 to 5, wherein the atomic ratio X to Y is in the range from 10 to 500.
7. An oxide material according to any one of claims 2 to 6, wherein the atomic ratio X to Y is in the range from 10 to 350.
- 10 8. An oxide material according to any one of claims 2 to 7, wherein the atomic ratio X to Y is in the range from 10 to 150.
- 15 9. A process for the preparation of an oxide material as defined in any one of the preceding claims, which comprises, prior to calcination, at least partially delaminating a swollen, layered oxide material having an X-ray diffraction pattern including values substantially as set forth in Table II below:

Table II

d (Ångstrom)	Relative Intensity, I/I ₀ x 100
> 32.2	vs
12.41 ± 0.25	w-s
3.44 ± 0.07	w-s

- 20 10. A process according to claim 9, wherein the swollen, layered oxide material is at least partially delaminated using ultrasound techniques.
11. A process according to claim 9 or 10, which also comprises an acid treatment step after the delamination step.

- 26 -

12. A process according to any one of claims 9 to 11, which also comprises a post-calcination hydrothermal treatment step.
- 5 13. A process according to any one of claims 9 to 12, which also comprises a post-calcination fluorine and/or phosphorus treatment step.
14. An oxide material obtainable by a process as claimed in any one of claims 9 to 13.
- 10 15. A catalyst composition comprising an oxide material as defined in any one of claims 1 to 8 and 14, and a matrix material.
16. A catalyst composition according to claim 15, wherein the matrix material is a refractory oxide.
- 15 17. A process for catalytically cracking a hydrocarbonaceous feedstock which comprises contacting the feedstock at elevated temperature with a catalyst composition according to claim 15 or claim 16.

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Intern. Application No.

PCT/EP 96/05004

A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER

IPC 6 C01B33/38 C01B39/48 B01J29/04 C10G11/04 C10G11/05

According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC

B. FIELDS SEARCHED

Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols)

IPC 6 C01B B01J C10G

Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched

Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practical, search terms used)

C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category *	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
X	WO 92 22498 A (MOBIL OIL CORP) 23 December 1992	1-8, 14-16
Y	see claims 1-6	17
X	US 5 264 643 A (DIGUISEPPI FRANK T ET AL) 23 November 1993	1-8, 14-16
Y	see table 1	17
Y	WO 92 11934 A (MOBIL OIL CORP) 23 July 1992	17
A	cited in the application	1-16

	--- --	

☒ Further documents are listed in the continuation of box C.☒ Patent family members are listed in annex.

* Special categories of cited documents:

- "A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance
- "E" earlier document but published on or after the international filing date
- "L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)
- "O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means
- "P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed

- "T" later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention
- "X" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone
- "Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art
- "&" document member of the same patent family

Date of the actual completion of the international search

17 March 1997

Date of mailing of the international search report

27. 03. 97

Name and mailing address of the ISA

European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2
NL - 2280 HV Rijswijk
Tel. (+ 31-70) 340-2040, Tx. 31 651 epo nl,
Fax: (+ 31-70) 340-3016

Authorized officer

Zalm, W

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Inten Application No
PCT/EP 96/05004

C.(Continuation) DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT		
Category	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
A	<p>CHEMICAL ABSTRACTS, vol. 83, no. 2, 14 July 1975 Columbus, Ohio, US; abstract no. 12898, CRUCEANU, MIHAI ET AL: "Synthesis of the molecular sieves in ultrasonic field" XP002027536 see abstract & AN. STIINT. UNIV. "AL. I. CUZA" IASI, SECT. 1C (1974), 20(2), 223-9 CODEN: AUZCAZ,</p>	9,10
A	<p>--- CHEMICAL ABSTRACTS, vol. 105, no. 2, 14 July 1986 Columbus, Ohio, US; abstract no. 8764, UEDA, YOSHINOBU ET AL: "Manufacture of molecular-sieve zeolites" XP002027537 see abstract & JP 60 226 413 A (AGENCY OF INDUSTRIAL SCIENCES AND TECHNOLOGY, JAPAN)</p>	9,10
A	<p>--- DATABASE WPI Section Ch, Week 9219 Derwent Publications Ltd., London, GB; Class D25, AN 92-156915 XP002027538 & JP 04 097 908 A (LION CORP) , 30 March 1992 see abstract</p>	9,10
X	<p>--- WO 94 29245 A (MOBIL OIL CORP) 22 December 1994 see claims; table 2</p>	1-8
A	<p>--- EP 0 363 253 A (INST FRANCAIS DU PETROL) 11 April 1990 see page 3, line 20 - line 26 -----</p>	1

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Information on patent family members

International Application No

PCT/EP 96/05004

Patent document cited in search report	Publication date	Patent family member(s)	Publication date
WO 9222498 A	23-12-92	US 5236575 A	17-08-93
		AU 655837 B	12-01-95
		AU 2261792 A	12-01-93
		CA 2111358 A	23-12-92
		DE 69205003 D	26-10-95
		DE 69205003 T	22-02-96
		EP 0590078 A	06-04-94
		JP 6508342 T	22-09-94
		US 5371310 A	06-12-94
		US 5545788 A	13-08-96
		US 5401896 A	28-03-95

US 5264643 A	23-11-93	NONE	

WO 9211934 A	23-07-92	US 5250277 A	05-10-93
		AU 654329 B	03-11-94
		AU 1230892 A	17-08-92
		CA 2098396 A	12-07-92
		EP 0566680 A	27-10-93
		JP 6505224 T	16-06-94
		US 5364999 A	15-11-94
		US 5229341 A	20-07-93
		US 5310715 A	10-05-94
		US 5292698 A	08-03-94
		US 5296428 A	22-03-94
		AU 651436 B	21-07-94
		AU 1254192 A	17-08-92
		CA 2098395 A	12-07-92
		EP 0548296 A	30-06-93
		JP 6504979 T	09-06-94
		WO 9211935 A	23-07-92

WO 9429245 A	22-12-94	AU 674427 B	19-12-96
		AU 7172894 A	03-01-95
		CA 2165427 A	17-12-94
		EP 0703887 A	03-04-96
		JP 8511544 T	03-12-96
		US 5493065 A	20-02-96

EP 0363253 A	11-04-90	FR 2637200 A	06-04-90

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

information on patent family members

International Application No

PCT/EP 96/05004

Patent document cited in search report	Publication date	Patent family member(s)	Publication date
EP 0363253 A		JP 2157045 A	15-06-90
		US 5077254 A	31-12-91
		US 5132479 A	21-07-92
